

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/937,931	01/08/2002	Marc Wasberg	2302.2060	8802
5514	7590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER
	CK CELLA HARPER	BECKER,	BECKER, DREW E	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/937,931	WASBERG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Drew E Becker	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 February 2004</u> .					
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-21</u> is/are rejected.					
-						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•			
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>February 17, 2004</u> is/are: a) $igotimes$ accepted or b) $igodiu$ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
🗀	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stage			
•	application from the International Burea		ranciuad			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot i	eceiveu.			
Assachman	·					
Attachmer	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Replacement Figure 6 was received on February 17, 2004. This replacement drawing is accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7, 9-10, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claim 18, the phrase "preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.
- 5. Claims 7 and 10 recite "precut line extends mainly in one of the layers". It is not clear what level, or degree, or separation would be considered to be "mainly".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1761

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 7. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jud [Pat. No. 4,658,963].
- Jud teaches an item comprising a product (Figure 4, #5), a flexible film enclosing the product and an amount of gas (Figure 4, #1), a precut line perpendicular to the longest side (Figure 2, #3), opening the package by bending it to rupture only the precut line (Figures 4-5), the bending inherently causing increased pressure, several products of equal size within the package (Figure 7), the precut line located adjacent two of the products (Figure 7, #28), the film having two superposed layers with the precut in a bottom layer (Figure 1, #2), the use of polypropylene (column 3, line 54), the product being chocolate (column 3, line 2), a method of making the item wherein the film is shaped first (column 2, lines 45-61; Figure 1, #2), and a device for making the item comprising a packaging machine (column 2, line 56).
- 8. Claims 1-4, 7, 11-14, 17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haiss [Pat. No. 4,290,526].

Haiss teaches an item comprising a product (Figure 2, #9), a flexible film enclosing the product and an amount of gas (Figure 1a, #1), a precut line perpendicular to the longest side (Figure 1a, #3), opening the package by rupturing only the precut line (Figures 1-2), the bending inherently causing increased pressure, a joining line (Figure 1, #4), the film having two superposed layers (column 2, lines 1-5), the use of polypropylene and

Art Unit: 1761

ű

polyethylene (column 2, lines 4-5), the product being chocolate or ice cream (column 1, line 10), a method of making the item wherein the film is shaped first (column 2, lines 1-38), and inherently including means for making the item. Phrases such as "bending the item" are merely preferred methods of using the claimed product, an apparatus. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. *Exparte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647. MPEP 2114.* "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

9. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marbler [Pat. No. 5,934,809].

Marbler teaches an item comprising a product (Figure 5, #26), a flexible film enclosing the product and an amount of gas (Figure 4, #16), a precut line perpendicular to the longest side (Figure 4, #18), opening the package by bending it to rupture only the precut line (column 5, line 48), the bending inherently causing increased pressure, a joining line (Figure 3, #19), the film having two superposed layers with the precut extending through an outer layer (Figure 6, #21-22), the use of polypropylene and

Art Unit: 1761

4

polyethylene (column 2, lines 15-25), the precut line being present in one of the outer layers without extending into an inner layer (Figure 6, #18 & 21-22), the product being chocolate (column 5, line 66), a method of making the item wherein the film is shaped first (column 5, lines 25-34), and a means for making the item (Figure 1).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed February 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that none of the references teach grasping the item "in such a way as to compress the item so as to increase the pressure of the gas to such a point that bending the item causes the film to rupture instantaneously along the most part of the precut line". However, all the references included a product and gas within the package and the internal pressure would have inherently increased when the packages were grasped and bent.

Applicants argue that the references pulled the items apart while bending them. However, it should be noted that applicants' own figures 3-5 explicitly teach pulling the item apart as it was bent.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., shaping steps described on pages 8-9 of the specification) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

Art Unit: 1761

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-5pm and every other Fri. 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1761

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761